

## EU Supporters Always Argue Using Unfalsifiable Pseudo Science.

No facts substantiate the opinion that Britain would be worse off as an independent democracy, because no external body could give us better laws than we could write for ourselves. That's why, instead of making a positive case for EU membership Remain relied entirely on character assassinations and predictions, which are unfalsifiable.

Firstly go onto YouTube and watch '*Science as Falsification by Karl Popper*' it's a terrific 17 minute essay that explains this issue, but in a nutshell, an argument is unfalsifiable if any fact can be used to support it but no fact can disprove it. For example, if I say the economy is going to crash but it gets stronger, I can claim that it's a bubble and that I am therefore vindicated. But if the economy declines I can say that the crash has begun so I am *still* vindicated. It's win/win! Similarly accusations of bigotry are impossible to falsify as odd behaviour can be assumed to indicate depravity, whilst good behaviour can be dismissed as a charade.

Naturally it's tempting to make unfalsifiable arguments because they can't be disproved, but ultimately unfalsifiability is a weakness rather than a strength because a theory that can't be tested is basically just conjecture which allows people to project all sorts of hysterical nightmares on what is unknown and unknowable. Here are the main Remain arguments:

- Vote Remain or there will be a war.
- Vote Remain or there will be a recession.
- Vote Remain or you will lose your job.
- Vote Remain or Boris will be PM.
- Vote Remain or you are racist.
- Vote Remain or we will lose influence.
- Vote Remain because it's the enlightened thing to do.
- Vote Remain or the sky will fall down. etc etc..

All the Remain arguments were either guesses about the future, or opinions about people's character. No observable test could prove any of the above claims to be false. They were all unfalsifiable.

Brexit propaganda may have been amateurish and badly spelt but generally it was observational - any lay person could check it for themselves on Wikipedia, whereas Remain asked us to take their forecasting models on trust. We didn't, and sure enough none of their lurid predictions came to pass. They had been making it up all along.

Generally, Leave said '*look*' - Remain said '*listen*'.